In Situ Removal of Heavy Metal Contaminants Using Emulsified Nano- Or Microscale Metal Particles

Kristen M. Milum<sup>1</sup>, Cherie L. Geiger<sup>1</sup>, Christian A. Clausen<sup>1</sup>, Robert DeVor<sup>1</sup>, Jacqueline Quinn<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry, UCF <sup>2</sup>NASA, Kennedy Space Center

# Outline

Heavy Metal Contamination
Current Remediation Technologies
Emulsified Zero Valent Metal
Laboratory Studies
Summary and Future Efforts

#### **Heavy Metal Contamination**

Coastal/Estuarine sediments: 15-50 mg/kg

Areas near waste outfalls: may exceed 400 mg/kg

 Lakes in Sudbury Mining District, Canada – 250-350 mg/kg in top 10 cm in cores – 50 mg/kg below 15 cm in cores

# **Current Remediation Technologies**

- In situ technology
  - Biological treatment
  - Chemical treatment
- Ex situ technology
  - Treatment of dredged sediments
    - Thermal treatment
    - Stabilization/ Immobilization
    - Extraction technologies
    - Biological treatment

## Zero Valent Iron Technology

 Material for permeable reactive barriers
 Used to treat chlorinated organics, nitroaromatics, and heavy metals

 $Fe^{2+} + 2e^{-} \rightarrow Fe^{0}$   $E_{0} = -0.447$ 

- CrO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> reduced to Cr<sup>3+</sup> with subsequent precipitation as Cr(OH)<sub>3</sub> or Cr<sub>x</sub>Fe<sub>1-x</sub>(OH)<sub>3</sub>
- Reduce Cu<sup>2+</sup>, Ag<sup>+</sup>, and Hg<sup>+</sup> to zero valent forms
- Reduce TcO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>, UO<sub>2</sub><sup>2+</sup>, MoO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> to more immobile forms

## **Emulsion Liquid Membranes**

- Liquid membrane system where two mutually miscible phases are separated by an immiscible phase
- Applications for a wide variety of materials
- Facilitation mechanisms also used to enhance removal
  - Type I: reaction on interior of droplet
  - Type II: use of carrier molecules
    - Crown ethers, carboxylic acids, quaternary ammine salts

Patterson, J. W., R. Passino, et al. Metals speciation, separation, and recovery, 1987.

#### **Emulsified Zero Valent Metal**

Combination of ELM and zero-valent metal

- Use of iron or magnesium to reduce heavy metal contamination
- Emulsion droplet provides protective barrier
- Emulsion droplet
  - Organic phase (oil, d-limonene)
  - Water
  - Surfactant (Span 85)
  - Nano- or Microscale metal

#### **Emulsion Droplets**



#### Magnesium

#### Nanoscale Iron





#### Microscale Iron



#### **Demonstration of EZVI**

- Field test at Cape Canaveral Air Force Station, Launch Complex 34, interior of ESB
- Emulsion system can degrade DNAPL TCE in both water and soil matrices
- Results of field study show in-situ dehalogenation of DNAPL where emulsion is injected
  - 58% reduction with kriging analysis (80% confidence interval)
  - 86% reduction for total TCE; 84% reduction for TCE DNAPL using contouring software EarthVision<sup>®</sup> (80% confidence interval)

#### **Experimental Objectives**

- To demonstrate the removal of metal ions
  - From solution
  - From soil

To verify the transport of the metal ions into the interior of the emulsion droplet

#### Metal Removal from Solution

#### Vial Study

- Variable weight neat <10  $\mu$ m Fe, 1-3  $\mu$ m Mg
- 20 mL of 500 ppm Pb solution
- Solution analyzed after 2 days by FAAS



#### Metal Removal from Solution

#### Vial study

- 5 g emulsion
- 10 mL, 100 ppm metal solution

# Solution analyzed after 5 days – Flame atomic absorption spectroscopy

#### Metal Removal from Solution



# Matrix Effects on Removal Efficiency

#### 5 g emulsion

- Modification of lead solution, 100 ppm Pb & 10 mM organic
  - 2-Mercapto-1methylimidazole
  - Sodium citrate
  - Succinic acid
  - Adipic acid
  - Disodium EDTA



# Lead in Organic Phase

#### Vial study

- 10 mL corn oil or d-limonene
- 10 mL, 10 ppm lead solution
- Additional studies
  - Surfactant in oil/d-limonene
  - EDTA in oil

All vials showed no lead removal from water by organic phase alone

# Plating Study

- Metal recovered from emulsion
  - Acidified
  - Analyzed by FAAS
- Fe-oil emulsion
  - 40-60% Pb recovered
- Mg-oil emulsion
  - 45-65% Pb recovered
- Mg-limonene emulsion
  - 60-75% Pb recovered
- XPS confirmed presence of Pb





SEM of recovered iron

## **Possible Transport Mechanisms**





#### Channel formation with amphiphilic molecules

K. R. Lange, *Surfactants*, 1999 *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1996, 105(18), 8282-8292

#### Metal Removal from Soil

- Vial study

  20 g lead-spiked soil
  100 mg Pb/kg soil
  3 mL of iron emulsion
  5 mL water beyond incipient wetness
- Analyzed using a variation of EPA Method 3050b

#### Metal Removal from Soil



#### Larger Scale Emulsion Recovery







## Summary

Demonstrated removal of metal ions from a variety of different solutions

Presence of lead on iron recovered from the interior of the emulsion droplets

Capability of emulsion for the removal of metal ions from soil

#### **Current and Future Efforts**

- Investigation into the fate of the metal in the interior of the emulsion droplet
- Simulation of more complex environments
- Small-scale field test to demonstrate applicability of this technique

#### Acknowledgements

NASA,
 Kennedy Space Center

Dr. Julia Fulghum,
 University of New Mexico