Spatial and Temporal Trends in Groundwater Chemistry and Precipitate Formation at the Elizabeth City Permeable Reactive Barrier

Richard Wilkin, Robert Puls, Cindy Paul, Mary McNeil, Frank Beck, and Pat Clark

U.S. EPA, Office of Research and Development, National Risk Management Research Laboratory, Ada, OK 74820





#### Long-Term Performance Monitoring of Zero-valent Iron PRBs

- U.S. Coast Guard Support Center, Elizabeth City, NC (June 96; Peerless iron, Continuous Wall; Cr+VOCs)
- Denver Federal Center, Lakewood, CO

(Nov 96; Peerless iron, Funnel-and-Gate; VOCs)

**Evaluate:** 

- Contaminant behavior
- Groundwater geochemistry
- Mineral precipitates
- Microbial community characterization
- > Hydraulic performance



# **Elizabeth City USCG Site**



#### **Performance Summary**

- Consistent degradation of contaminants over 6+ y
- Cr completely removed, never above MCL in any downgradient sampling points
- •The PRB has achieved containment of chrome plating shop plume (source area now being addressed)
- Organic compounds removed to less than MCL in most sampling points most of the time
- Multiple sources of chlorinated organic compounds at the site



# Soil core sampling

#### Groundwater sampling





Data source: Tri-Agency PRB Initiative, Combined report

# Elizabeth City – Spec. Cond.



[transect 2]

# **Anionic composition**







[transect 2]

# **Core Analysis Methods**

#### **\*Tools**

- SEM-EDS
- Reflected-light microscopy
- Transmission Electron microscopy (TEM)
- XPS (x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy)
- XRD (x-ray diffraction)
- Inorganic carbon analysis/Sulfur analysis/ $\delta^{34}S$
- Microbial assays



#### **Inorganic Carbon Analysis**



#### **Sulfur Analysis**



#### Mineral/Biomass Accumulation – E. City

8

-0.6

-0.2 0 0.2

Position, m

Sulfur

Aquife

-0.2 0 0.2

Position, m

0.6

Flow

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

-0.6

Depth, m

**Inorganic Carbon** 



0.6

8

-0.6

**PLFA** 

0.6

-0.2 0 0.2

Position, m

Impact of Mineral and Biomass Accumulation: Hydrology and Contaminant Residence Time





[transect 2]

#### Total S vs AVS



#### X-Ray Diffraction





#### SEM/TEM

# Furukawa and Wilkin (2002) ES&T, in press



#### **Inorganic C with time**



#### **Mass Accumulation – E. City**



# Porosity loss – Elizabeth City

Assume all ppt in front 10 cm, initial porosity = 50%



# **Porosity loss - DFC**

Assume all ppt in front 10 cm, initial porosity = 50%



#### **Pore loss estimations**

- Flow rate (flux in)
- Sulfate concentration/removal efficiency
- Bicarbonate concentration/removal efficiency
- Initial PRB porosity
- Iron corrosion (pore volume gain), oxidation (loss)
- Mineral molar volumes

#### Microbial Biomass – PLFA Dist.



From Gavaskar et al., 2002

# Long-term performance: Overview

- Consistent degradation of contaminants over 6 y
- Spatial heterogeneity of mineral and biomass accumulation
- Buildup correlated to GW chemistry (TDS) and flow rate
- Fe<sup>0</sup> is long-term sink for C, S, Ca, Si, N, Mg, +/- Mn (mass balance on C & S)
- Porosity loss rate from 1 to 4% per y of original available V

### Long-term performance: Overview - cont

- Reactive transport codes data gaps
- Vertically resolved hydro/geochem data needed during site characterization
- Correlation between declining performance and changing geochemical parameters not evident after 6 y