# Modeling of Downgradient Reverse Diffusion Effects

Tom Sale – Colorado State University\* Tissa Illangasekare- Colorado School of Mines

Presented at

RTDF/PRB Meeting, Niagara Falls, October 2003

## Presentation

- Problem Statement
- Laboratory Studies
- Analytical Models
- Comparisons to Field Data
- Implications

## Problem Statement

## A Priori Analysis of the Benefits of Source Treatment



#### Mass Discharge from multiple DNAPL pools in a source zone (Sale and McWhorter, WRR, 2001)









### Plume Attenuation/ Plume Replenishment by Matrix Diffusion - (after Sudicky et al., 1985; Parker et al., 1994 and 1997)



## Laboratory Studies

### AFCEE Source Zone Initiative

Colorado State University and Colorado School of Mines



### **Back Diffusion**







Small Tank Studies - Preliminary Results – Brian Twitchell, Minh Chau Le and Tom Sale Colorado State University (2003)









## Analytical Models

AFCEE Source Zone Initiative



#### Excerpt from Sudicky Gillham and Frind, WRR 1985



## Analytical Models for Downstream Processes

- Sudicky et al. 1995
- Dave Dandy/ Colorado State University / 2003
  - Discrete source at interface
  - Solves for concentration in sand and silt layers
  - Enhanced computation speed and reliability

### **Elapsed Time = 1000 days – Source on for 1000 days**



### **Elapsed Time = 1010 days – Source off for 10 days**



### **Elapsed Time = 1100 days – Source off for 100days**



### **Elapsed Time = 2000 days – Source off for 1000 days**





### **Concentration versus Time in an Analog Well**



## Comparisons to Field Sites

AFCEE Source Zone Initiative



## Implications



Resolutions – Observed plume concentrations, Source longevity, Difficulty of finding DNAPL, Limited water quality improvements with aggressive treatment, Rebound , Slow response in plumes